

In Vitro Activities of Novel Azole Compounds ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 against Fluconazole-Susceptible and -Resistant Isolates of Candida Species

Hamed Fakhim, Saeed Emami, Afsane Vaezi, Seyedeh Mahdieh Hashemi,

Leila Faeli, Kambiz Diba, Eric Dannaoui, Hamid Badali

To cite this version:

Hamed Fakhim, Saeed Emami, Afsane Vaezi, Seyedeh Mahdieh Hashemi, Leila Faeli, et al.. In Vitro Activities of Novel Azole Compounds ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 against Fluconazole-Susceptible and -Resistant Isolates of Candida Species. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2017, 61 (1), 10.1128/AAC.01106-16 hal-03997772

HAL Id: hal-03997772 <https://hal.u-pec.fr/hal-03997772v1>

Submitted on 4 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SUSCEPTIBILITY

In Vitro Activities of Novel Azole Compounds ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 against Fluconazole-Susceptible and -Resistant Isolates of Candida Species

Antimicrobial Agents

MICROBIOLOGY **and Chemotherapy**[®]

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

Hamed Fakhim,a,b Saeed Emami,c Afsane Vaezi,a,b Seyedeh Mahdieh Hashemi,c Leila Faeli,a,b Kambiz Diba,d [Eric Dannaoui,e](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2817-3830) [Hamid Badalib](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6010-8414),f

Student Research Committee, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran^a; Department of Medical Mycology and Parasitology, School of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran^b; Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran^c; Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Irand; Université Paris-Descartes, Faculté de Médecine, APHP, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Unité de Parasitologie-Mycologie, Service de Microbiologie, Paris, Francee; Invasive Fungi Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iranf

ABSTRACT The in vitro activities of two novel azole compounds (aryl-1,2,4-triazol-3-ylthio analogues of fluconazole [ATTAFs]) and five comparator antifungal agents against 52 clinical Candida isolates from 5 different species were determined. The novel azole compounds had the lowest geometric mean MICs, followed by fluconazole. Moreover, combinations of these compounds with fluconazole exhibited synergistic effects against fluconazole-susceptible (22 of 23 isolates), fluconazole-susceptible dose-dependent (10 of 13 isolates), and fluconazole-resistant (1 of 16 isolates) Candida isolates.

KEYWORDS In vitro susceptibility, triazole derivatives, Candida species

Candidiasis is a serious life-threatening infection that is associated with significant morbidity and mortality rates. The incidence of this infection has increased in recent years, especially among immunocompromised patients (1, 2). Candida species are the fourth most common agent of hospital-acquired candidemia (3–5). Guidelines for the management of candidiasis have recommended the use of azoles, polyenes, and echinocandins (6, 7). However, toxic effects of amphotericin B and resistance to azoles and echinocandins in *Candida* species have recently become serious clinical challenges (8–10). Fluconazole is the most commonly used agent for systemic candidiasis, given its low toxicity, high solubility, and wide tissue distribution (11). However, the use of fluconazole for prophylaxis and treatment is thought to be a potential risk factor, leading to the gradual development of azole-resistant species (12). Accordingly, there is an urgent need for the introduction of a novel class of antifungal agents with potent activities and new mechanisms of action, to improve the management of Candida infections (13).

Replacement of one triazole ring in the fluconazole structure with other heterocyclic moieties for the purpose of developing new antifungal agents has received particular attention in medicinal chemistry. We previously designed and synthesized numerous triazole alcohols by replacing the 1,2,4-triazol-1-yl group in the fluconazole structure with a 4-amino-5-aryl-3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole motif (14, 15). Since this newly introduced motif represented a new type of side chain in triazole alcohol antifungals, we focused on structural refinement of the primary lead compound and removed the amino group from the structure to obtain new entities, namely, aryl-1,2,4-triazol-3 ylthio analogues of fluconazole (ATTAFs). In particular, the compounds ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2,

Received 24 May 2016 **Returned for modification** 21 July 2016 **Accepted** 1 October 2016

Accepted manuscript posted online 24 October 2016

Citation Fakhim H, Emami S, Vaezi A, Hashemi SM, Faeli L, Diba K, Dannaoui E, Badali H. 2017. In vitro activities of novel azole compounds ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 against fluconazolesusceptible and -resistant isolates of Candida species. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:e01106-16. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01106-16) [AAC.01106-16.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01106-16)

Copyright © 2016 American Society for Microbiology. [All Rights Reserved.](https://doi.org/10.1128/ASMCopyrightv1) Address correspondence to Hamid Badali, [badalii@yahoo.com.](mailto:badalii@yahoo.com)

FIG 1 Chemical structures of fluconazole, ATTAF-1, and ATTAF-2.

containing a (2,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-ylthio moiety (Fig. 1), were found to be potential agents against Candida species, with no significant cytotoxicity against the HepG2 cell line (15). Although ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 are triazole alcohol-derived analogues, their increased antifungal activity, in comparison with fluconazole, might be attributable to the presence of the (2,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,2,4-triazol-3-ylthio scaffold as an additional pharmacophoric structure, with a mechanism of action distinct from that of fluconazole. Therefore, we aimed to determine the in vitro activity of ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2, in comparison with five clinically important antifungal drugs, against fluconazole-susceptible and -resistant Candida isolates. Moreover, we investigated the combination of these compounds with fluconazole.

Compounds ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 were synthesized and characterized as in our previous study (15). Fluconazole (Pfizer, Groton, CT, USA), itraconazole (Janssen Research Foundation, Beerse, Belgium), voriconazole (Pfizer Central Research, Sandwich, United Kingdom), amphotericin B (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), and anidulafungin (Pfizer) were obtained as reagent-grade powders from the respective manufacturers and were used for preparation of the CLSI microdilution trays.

Fifty-two Candida isolates from five different species, including fluconazole-susceptible isolates ($n = 23$), fluconazole-susceptible dose-dependent isolates ($n = 13$), and fluconazole-resistant isolates ($n = 16$) (according to the new CLSI species-specific clinical breakpoints for fluconazole against Candida species [16]), were obtained from the reference culture collection of the Invasive Fungi Research Center (Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran) (Table 1). Isolates had been identified previously through sequencing of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) ribosomal DNA (rDNA) region. Antifungal susceptibility testing was performed according to CLSI guidelines (17, 18), and MICs were determined after 24 h of incubation at 35°C. The antifungal agents were prepared at final concentrations of 0.016 to 16 μ g/ml for amphotericin B, itraconazole, and voriconazole, 0.063 to 64 μ g/ml for fluconazole, ATTAF-1, and ATTAF-2, and 0.008 to 8 μ g/ml for anidulafungin. The MIC endpoints were defined as 100% inhibition for amphotericin B and >50% inhibition for the other drugs. For calculations, high off-scale MICs were raised to the next $log₂$ dilution step, while low off-scale MICs were left unchanged (19, 20). Differences in mean values were determined by using Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests, with the SPSS statistical package (version 7.0). P values of $<$ 0.05 were considered statistically significant. In addition, the interactions of ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 with fluconazole were investigated by using a microdilution checkerboard technique with 96-well microtiter plates (21). The concentration ranges used depended on the MIC results for each isolate, i.e., the maximum concentration was 2 times the MIC and then serial dilutions were performed. In vitro combinations of fluconazole with voriconazole were tested as controls against 11 Candida isolates from 5 different species (fluconazole-susceptible isolates $[n = 5]$, fluconazole-susceptible dose-dependent isolates $[n = 3]$, and fluconazole-resistant isolates $[n = 3]$) to compare the interactions of the newly synthesized azole compounds with fluconazole. To assess the interactions of combinations of drugs, further analysis was conducted using the fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI). The interaction was defined as synergistic if the FICI was \leq 0.5, indifferent if the FICI was $>$ 0.5 to \leq 4.0, and antagonistic if the FICI was $>$ 4 (21).

TABLE 1 In vitro susceptibilities of five antifungal drugs and two novel azole compounds (ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2) against 52 Candida isolates from five different species

Species and compound/agent	No. of isolates with MIC (μ g/ml) of ^a :																	MIC mode	MIC GM	
	≤ 0.008 0.016 0.031 0.063 0.125 0.25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 >64															MIC range $(\mu$ g/ml)	MIC ₅₀ $(\mu$ g/ml)	MIC ₉₀ $(\mu$ g/ml)	$(\mu g/ml)$	$(\mu$ g/ml)
C. albicans ($n = 21$) ATTAF-1 ATTAF-2 Fluconazole Itraconazole Voriconazole Anidulafungin	11	8	1 $\mathbf{1}$	11 14 $\overline{2}$ $\overline{2}$ $\mathbf{1}$	2 $\mathbf{1}$ 6	1 $\mathbf{1}$ 7 8 $\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$ 8 $\overline{7}$ $\overline{2}$ 11	1 $\mathbf{1}$ 5 $\overline{2}$ $\mathbf{1}$ 5	$\overline{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$ $\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$ $\overline{1}$	$\overline{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{2}$		3	$0.031 - 16$ $0.063 - 32$ $0.5 - 128$ $0.063 - 8$ $0.063 - 2$ $0.008 - 0.063$ $0.25 - 2$	0.063 0.063 $\mathbf{1}$ 0.5 0.25 0.008 0.5	8 16 128 $\overline{2}$ $\mathbf{1}$ 0.016 $\overline{2}$	0.063 0.063 0.5 0.5 0.25 0.008 0.5	0.21 0.22 $\overline{2}$ 0.46 0.25 0.01 0.74
Amphotericin B C. glabrata ($n = 10$) ATTAF-1 ATTAF-2 Fluconazole Itraconazole Voriconazole Anidulafungin Amphotericin B	6	3	1 $\mathbf{1}$	1 5 $\mathbf{1}$	3 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{2}$	4 $\mathbf{1}$ 1 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$ 4 5 $\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ 2 ₁	$\overline{3}$ $\overline{2}$	2	1	$\overline{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	4	$0.063 - 32$ $0.063 - 64$ $2 - 128$ $0.25 - 4$ $0.125 - 2$ $0.008 - 0.031$ $0.031 - 2$	0.25 0.125 8 $\mathbf{1}$ 0.5 0.008 0.25	32 64 128 4 $\overline{2}$ 0.031 $\overline{2}$	0.25 0.063 128 0.5 0.5 0.008 1	0.5 0.35 17.14 0.93 0.46 0.01 0.25
C. krusei ($n = 9$) ATTAF-1 ATTAF-2 Fluconazole Itraconazole Voriconazole Anidulafungin Amphotericin B	6	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ 1 $\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ 3 $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$	4 1 $\overline{2}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$	3 3 $\overline{2}$ $\mathbf{1}$	1 $\mathbf{1}$ 1 $\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$ 3 2 ₁	2	$\overline{1}$ $1 \quad 1$	$1 \quad 1$ $\overline{2}$		2	2	$0.063 - 16$ $0.031 - 64$ $1 - 128$ $0.125 - 16$ $0.125 - 8$ $0.008 - 0.125$ $0.063 - 2$	ND ^b ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND
C. parapsilosis ($n = 8$) ATTAF-1 ATTAF-2 Fluconazole Itraconazole Voriconazole Anidulafungin Amphotericin B	6	$\overline{2}$	3 3 $\overline{2}$ $\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$ 3 $\overline{7}$	2 $\overline{4}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$	1 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$	3 $\overline{1}$ $\overline{1}$		$1 \quad 1 \quad 3$							$0.031 - 0.25$ $0.031 - 0.25$ $0.5 - 4$ $0.063 - 0.5$ $0.031 - 0.5$ $0.031 - 0.063$ $0.008 - 0.016$	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND
C. tropicalis ($n = 4$) ATTAF-1 ATTAF-2 Fluconazole Itraconazole Voriconazole Anidulafungin Amphotericin B	3	$\mathbf{1}$		3 3 $\overline{2}$ $\overline{2}$ 2	1 $\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ 1	2 $\overline{1}$ $\overline{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$		$1 \quad 1$							$0.063 - 0.125$ $0.063 - 0.125$ $0.5 - 2$ $0.063 - 0.5$ $0.063 - 0.5$ $0.008 - 0.016$ $0.063 - 0.5$	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND

aNumbers in bold are modal values.

bND, not determined.

Table 1 summarizes the MIC range, mode, and geometric mean (GM), MIC $_{50'}$ and $MIC₉₀$ for ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 and five comparators against 52 clinical Candida isolates from 5 different species. In terms of GM MIC values, anidulafungin, followed by the newly synthesized azole compounds, exhibited potent activity against all Candida isolates ($n = 52$). Interestingly, the widest range (0.5 to 128 μ g/ml) and highest MIC₉₀ (128 μ g/ml) value for fluconazole was observed against Candida albicans. The GM MIC values against C. *albicans* were 0.01, 0.21, 0.22, 0.25, 0.46, 0.74, and 2 μ g/ml for anidulafungin, ATTAF-1, ATTAF-2, voriconazole, itraconazole, amphotericin B, and fluconazole, respectively. The GM MIC values of ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 were lower than that of fluconazole against *Candida glabrata,* and the MIC₅₀ of ATTAF-1 (0.25 μ g/ml) was 5 log $_2$ dilution steps lower than that of fluconazole (8 μ g/ml). The checkerboard analysis of the tested compounds is summarized in Table 2. The FICI results revealed synergistic effects against fluconazole-susceptible (22 of 23 isolates), fluconazolesusceptible dose-dependent (10 of 13 isolates), and fluconazole-resistant (1 of 16 isolates) Candida isolates when ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 were combined with fluconazole. Remarkably, ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 were more active than fluconazole against C. albicans isolates and showed synergistic activity against 16 isolates (76.1%) (Table 2).

TABLE 2 Interactions between fluconazole and the novel compounds (ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2) against Candida isolates

aFLC, fluconazole; FICI, fractional inhibitory concentration index; INT, interpretation; IND, indifference; SYN, synergy.

Moreover, synergistic activity against C. glabrata, Candida parapsilosis, Candida krusei, and Candida tropicalis was observed with 5 strains (50%), 5 strains (62.5%), 4 strains (44.4%), and 4 strains (100%), respectively. Overall, no antagonistic effects were observed against Candida isolates with these combinations. Remarkably combinations of fluconazole with voriconazole (used as controls) revealed unfavorable antifungal effects against 11 Candida isolates, with a high FICI range of 1.5 to 4, in comparison with FICI ranges of 0.25 to 2 and 0.31 to 2 for ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2, respectively. Based on the findings, there were no significant differences in the activities of ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 against specific Candida isolates ($P > 0.05$).

With advances in modern medicine, leading to the availability and indiscriminate use of chemotherapeutic, immunosuppressive, and broad-spectrum antifungal agents, the increased incidence of severe candidiasis has been recently attributed to the large population of high-risk individuals (1, 2). Although fluconazole is the drug of choice for prophylaxis and treatment of candidiasis, prolonged use of this agent has contributed to the development of drug resistance in Candida isolates (20). Accordingly, novel therapeutic strategies, such as combination therapy, are essential for increasing the efficacy and reducing the toxicity of antifungal agents. Major attempts have been made to develop potent and safe antifungal agents with unique mechanisms of action (20). Fluconazole analogues with a triazole-modified scaffold display enhanced activity against Candida and Cryptococcus species, compared to filamentous fungi (15, 22). In the current study, ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2, two promising novel azole compounds, could show potent activity against all Candida species when used alone or in combination with fluconazole. In line with the present results, Shi et al. (23) and Ramírez et al. (24) showed that the newly synthesized azole-based compounds were more active than fluconazole and the combination of these compounds with fluconazole could exert synergistic effects. Moreover, Ji et al. (25) synthesized triazole derivatives based on the structure of lanosterol 14α -demethylase (CYP51) and revealed that these compounds have better activity against C. albicans than does fluconazole. ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 share general structural features with the triazole alcohol class of antifungal agents, while exhibiting novel and distinct characteristics. The increased antifungal potency of these compounds might be due to secondary activities or actions within Candida isolates not shared by fluconazole. In previous studies, the mechanisms of azole resistance in different Candida isolates, including decreased intracellular concentrations of the target enzyme, changes in the drug target, and increased production of lanosterol 14 α -demethylase, have been identified (26). The mechanisms of action of azole compounds and their derivatives have been precisely determined and established. Although our newly synthesized azole compounds showed more potent antifungal activities than did fluconazole, the mechanism of action involved might differ from that of fluconazole; moreover, synergistic activities apparently did not have major potential significance, since these interactions were observed mostly for isolates that were not resistant to fluconazole, and the synergistic mechanisms remained unclear. Therefore, we need to determine which subsets of events and mechanisms are primarily responsible for the observed growth inhibition with the synergistic use of azole compounds. Further analysis of the differences between different compounds and fluconazole could elucidate the underlying mechanisms of action. In conclusion, although ATTAF-1 and ATTAF-2 exhibited potent activities against clinical Candida isolates, their effectiveness, alone or in combination with fluconazole, for the treatment of Candida infections needs to be determined; in addition, the underlying mechanisms of action should be investigated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was financially supported by a grant (no. 2321) from the School of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences (Sari, Iran), which we gratefully acknowledge.

We declare no potential conflicts of interest.

The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. Guo F, Yang Y, Kang Y, Zang B, Cui W, Qin B, Qin Y, Fang Q, Qin T, Jiang D. 2013. Invasive candidiasis in intensive care units in China: a multicentre prospective observational study. J Antimicrob Chemother 68: 1660 –1668. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt083.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt083)
- 2. Hu L, Du X, Li T, Song Y, Zai S, Hu X, Zhang X, Li M. 2015. Genetic and phenotypic characterization of Candida albicans strains isolated from infectious disease patients in Shanghai. J Med Microbiol 64:74 – 83. [https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.080200-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.080200-0)
- 3. Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Moet GJ, Jones RN, Castanheira M. 2011. Candida bloodstream infections: comparison of species distribution and resistance to echinocandin and azole antifungal agents in intensive care unit (ICU) and non-ICU settings in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2008 –2009). Int J Antimicrob Agents 38:65– 69. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.02.016) [doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.02.016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.02.016)
- 4. Pfaller M, Diekema D. 2007. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. Clin Microbiol Rev 20:133–163. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00029-06) [doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00029-06.](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00029-06)
- 5. Bergamasco M, Garnica M, Colombo A, Nucci M. 2013. Epidemiology of candidemia in patients with hematologic malignancies and solid tumours in Brazil. Mycoses 56:256 –263. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12013) [myc.12013.](https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12013)
- 6. Cornely O, Bassetti M, Calandra T, Garbino J, Kullberg B, Lortholary O, Meersseman W, Akova M, Arendrup M, Arikan-Akdagli S. 2012. ESCMID guideline for the diagnosis and management of Candida diseases 2012: non-neutropenic adult patients. Clin Infect Dis 18(Suppl 7):19 –37. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12039.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12039)
- 7. Ullmann A, Akova M, Herbrecht R, Viscoli C, Arendrup M, Arikan-Akdagli S, Bassetti M, Bille J, Calandra T, Castagnola E. 2012. ESCMID guideline for the diagnosis and management of Candida diseases 2012: adults with haematological malignancies and after haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HCT). Clin Infect Dis 18(Suppl 7):53– 67. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12041) [10.1111/1469-0691.12041.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12041)
- 8. Chandrasekar P. 2011. Management of invasive fungal infections: a role for polyenes. J Antimicrob Chemother 66:457– 465. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq479) [10.1093/jac/dkq479.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq479)
- 9. Kothavade RJ, Kura MM, Valand AG, Panthaki MH. 2010. Candida tropicalis: its prevalence, pathogenicity and increasing resistance to fluconazole. J Med Microbiol 59:873– 880. [https://doi.org/10.1099/](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.013227-0) [jmm.0.013227-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.013227-0)
- 10. Beyda ND, Lewis RE, Garey KW. 2012. Echinocandin resistance in Candida species: mechanisms of reduced susceptibility and therapeutic approaches. Ann Pharmacother 46:1086 –1096. [https://doi.org/10.1345/](https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1R020) [aph.1R020.](https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1R020)
- 11. Brammer K, Farrow P, Faulkner J. 1990. Pharmacokinetics and tissue penetration of fluconazole in humans. Rev Infect Dis 12(Suppl 3): S318 –S326. [https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/12.Supplement_3.S318.](https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/12.Supplement_3.S318)
- 12. Rogers TR. 2006. Antifungal drug resistance: limited data, dramatic impact? Int J Antimicrob Agents 27(Suppl 1):7–11. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.03.012) [10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.03.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.03.012)
- 13. Shalini K, Kumar N, Drabu S, Sharma PK. 2011. Advances in synthetic approach to and antifungal activity of triazoles. Beilstein J Org Chem 7:668 – 677. [https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.7.79.](https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.7.79)
- 14. Hashemi SM, Badali H, Faramarzi MA, Samadi N, Afsarian MH, Irannejad H, Emami S. 2015. Novel triazole alcohol antifungals derived from

fluconazole: design, synthesis, and biological activity. Mol Divers 19: 15–27. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11030-014-9548-0.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11030-014-9548-0)

- 15. Hashemi SM, Badali H, Irannejad H, Shokrzadeh M, Emami S. 2015. Synthesis and biological evaluation of fluconazole analogs with triazolemodified scaffold as potent antifungal agents. Bioorg Med Chem 23: 1481–1491. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2015.02.011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2015.02.011)
- 16. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. 2012. Progress in antifungal susceptibility testing of Candida spp. by use of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute broth microdilution methods, 2010 to 2012. J Clin Microbiol 50: 2846 –2856. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00937-12.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00937-12)
- 17. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2008. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts; approved standard—3rd ed. Document M27-A3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 18. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2012. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts; 4th informational supplement. Document M27-S4. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 19. Pfaller MA, Watanabe N, Castanheira M, Messer SA, Jones RN. 2011. Pre-clinical development of antifungal susceptibility test methods for the testing of the novel antifungal agent E1210 versus Candida: comparison of CLSI and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing methods. J Antimicrob Chemother 66:2581–2584. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr342) [doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr342.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr342)
- 20. Mane A, Vidhate P, Kusro C, Waman V, Saxena V, Kulkarni-Kale U, Risbud A. 2016. Molecular mechanisms associated with fluconazole resistance in clinical Candida albicans isolates from India. Mycoses 59:93–100. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12439) [doi.org/10.1111/myc.12439.](https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12439)
- 21. Odds FC. 2003. Synergy, antagonism, and what the chequerboard puts between them. J Antimicrob Chemother 52:1. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkg301) [jac/dkg301.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkg301)
- 22. Babazadeh-Qazijahani M, Badali H, Irannejad H, Afsarian MH, Emami S. 2014. Imidazolylchromanones containing non-benzylic oxime ethers: synthesis and molecular modeling study of new azole antifungals selective against Cryptococcus gattii. Eur J Med Chem 76:264 –273. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.02.019) [doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.02.019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.02.019)
- 23. Shi C, Liu C, Liu J, Wang Y, Li J, Xiang M. 2015. Anti-Candida activity of new azole derivatives alone and in combination with fluconazole. Mycopathologia 180:203–207. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-015](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-015-9899-9) [-9899-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-015-9899-9)
- 24. Ramírez J, Rodríguez MV, Quiroga J, Abonia R, Sortino M, Zacchino SA, Insuasty B. 2014. Efficient synthesis of novel 3-aryl-5-(4-chloro-2 morpholinothiazol-5-yl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazoles and their antifungal activity alone and in combination with commercial antifungal agents. Arch Pharm 347:566 –575. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.201400084) [ardp.201400084.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.201400084)
- 25. Ji D, Lu J, Lu B, Xin C, Mu J, Li J, Peng C, Bao X. 2013. Efficient synthesis and antimicrobial activity of some novel S- β -D-glucosides of 5-aryl-1,2,4triazole-3-thiones derivatives. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 23:1997–2000. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2013.02.038.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2013.02.038)
- 26. Gonçalves SS, Souza ACR, Chowdhary A, Meis JF, Colombo AL. 2016. Epidemiology and molecular mechanisms of antifungal resistance in Candida and Aspergillus. Mycoses 59:198 –219. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12469) [myc.12469.](https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12469)