



HAL
open science

Impact of Programmed Death Ligand 1 Expression in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Patients, Treated by Chemotherapy (GFPC 06-2015 Study)

Jean-Bernard Auliac, Florian Guisier, Acya Bizieux, Pascal Assouline, Marie Bernardini, Régine Lamy, Grégoire Justeau, Geraldine François, Diane Damotte, Christos Chouaïd

► To cite this version:

Jean-Bernard Auliac, Florian Guisier, Acya Bizieux, Pascal Assouline, Marie Bernardini, et al.. Impact of Programmed Death Ligand 1 Expression in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Patients, Treated by Chemotherapy (GFPC 06-2015 Study). *OncoTargets and Therapy*, 2020, Volume 13, pp.13299-13305. 10.2147/ott.s288825 . hal-04142873

HAL Id: hal-04142873

<https://hal.u-pec.fr/hal-04142873v1>

Submitted on 27 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Impact of Programmed Death Ligand 1 Expression in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Patients, Treated by Chemotherapy (GFPC 06-2015 Study)

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
OncoTargets and Therapy

Jean-Bernard Auliac¹ 
 Florian Guisier² 
 Acya Bizieux³
 Pascal Assouline⁴
 Marie Bernardini⁵
 Régine Lamy⁶ 
 Grégoire Justeau⁷
 Geraldine François⁸
 Diane Damotte^{9,10}
 Christos Chouaid^{1,11} 

¹Pneumology Department, Centre Hospitalier Intercommunal de Créteil, Créteil, France; ²Pulmonology, Thoracic Oncology and Respiratory Department, Rouen University Hospital, Rouen, France; ³Pneumology Department, Centre Hospitalier de Vendée, La Roche-sur-Yon, France; ⁴Pneumology Department, Centre Hospitalier de Bligny, Bligny, France; ⁵Pneumology Department, Centre Hospitalier d'Aix-en-Provence, Aix-en-Provence, France; ⁶Pneumology Department, Centre Hospitalier de Bretagne-Sud, Lorient, France; ⁷Pneumology Department, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire d'Angers, Angers, France; ⁸Pneumology Department, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire d'Amiens, Amiens, France; ⁹Department of Pathology, Hôpital Cochin, APHP, Paris, France; ¹⁰University Paris Descartes, Paris, France; ¹¹Inserm U955, UPEC, IMRB, Equipe CEpiA, Créteil, France

Correspondence: Jean-Bernard Auliac
 Service de Pneumologie, CHI Créteil, 40,
 Avenue de Verdun, Créteil Cedex 94010,
 France
 Email jean-bernard.auliac@chicreteil.fr

Background: Few data have been published on the clinical and histopathological characteristics of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients with high PD-L1 expression versus intermediate or none and the prognostic value of PD-L1 expression for patients treated with chemotherapy is unknown. This study was undertaken to prospectively assess the prognostic value of tumor-cell (TC) and immune-cell (IC) PD-L1 expressions for advanced NSCLC patients.

Methods: It was a prospective, multicenter study on advanced NSCLC patients, with performance status 0/1, scheduled, consecutively, to receive first-line platin-based chemotherapy. PD-L1 expression was determined immunochemically (Dako Autostainer and monoclonal antibody 22C3) and its impact on progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) assessed.

Results: Among 198 patients screened in 19 centers, 140 were included median age: 66.5 ± 10 years; 76.4% men; 79.3% Caucasians; 10.7% nonsmokers; 63.6% adenocarcinomas; <1%, 1–50% and ≥50% TC PD-L1-expression rates were 47.1%, 25.7% and 27.2% of patients, respectively; respective null, intermediate and high rates on ICs were 35.7%, 38.6% and 25.7%. Second- and third-line chemotherapies were administered to 58.6% and 26.4% of the patients, respectively. None received immunotherapy. First-, second- and third-line median (95% CI) PFS lasted 4.6 (3.6–5.2), 3.7 (2.3–4.7) and 2.2 (1.5–4.3) months, respectively; median OS was 16.9 (11.4–19.9) months. No significant PFS and OS differences were observed according to TC or IC PD-L1 expression.

Conclusion: According to the results of this prospective, multicenter study, neither TC nor IC PD-L1 expression appears to be prognostic for chemotherapy-managed advanced NSCLC patients.

Keywords: chemotherapy, immunotherapy, non-small-cell lung cancer, PD-L1, prognostic

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. Non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) constitutes the predominant histological type, representing more than 85% of all lung tumors.¹ A high percentage of the patients are diagnosed with locally advanced-stage or metastatic disease. Recent advances in molecular-targeted therapy have led to markedly improved prognoses for patients with alterations in oncogenes, such as the epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*)

and anaplastic lymphoma-kinase (*ALK*) genes.² Recent clinical trials of immunotherapy's targeting programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) or its ligand-1 (PD-L1) have shown the safety and remarkable antitumor activities of these agents against various cancers, including NSCLCs.³ Notably, for NSCLC patients, PD-1 inhibitors, like nivolumab and pembrolizumab, and PD-L1 inhibitors, such as atezolizumab, have obtained survival benefits comparable with that of conventional standard therapy, and the subset analyses of those studies revealed close associations between PD-L1 expression and efficacy. PD-1 is expressed on the surface of activated T cells and regulates their activity through interaction with its ligands PD-L1 and PD-L2 expressed on the surface of NSCLC cells. Those interactions attenuate T-cell activity, resulting in the down regulation of the immune response against cancer cells. Inhibiting those interactions can enhance T-cell functions.^{3,4} However, immunotherapy is only effective for a small percentage of cancer patients and the complexity of the tumor immune microenvironment may account for this phenomenon.⁵

Limited data on European populations have been published on the clinical and histopathological characteristics of high PD-L1 expression compared to intermediate or no expression. A recent systematic literature review, including 35 eligible studies did not support an association between PD-L1 expression and gender, age, smoking history, tumor histology, performance status, pathologic tumor grade or *EGFR*/*KRAS*/*ALK* mutational status. Moreover, the impact of high PD-L1 expression in NSCLCs is not definitive: some studies indicated it was a positive factor,⁶ while others showed it to be a negative prognostic factor for chemotherapy efficacy.⁷⁻⁹

The primary objective of this analysis was to evaluate clinical and histopathological characteristics of high PD-L1 expression in advanced NSCLC patients compared to those with weak or no expression. The secondary objective was to compare clinical outcomes as a function of PD-L1-expression levels in these immunotherapy-naïve patients and to assess the prognostic impact of PD-L1 expression.

Methods

This multicenter, prospective, observational study included adult patients diagnosed with stage IIIB-IV NSCLCs, scheduled to receive first-line standard-dose platin-based chemotherapy (cisplatin or carboplatin with gemcitabine, vinorelbine, docetaxel, paclitaxel, pemetrexed or

bevacizumab, with the latter two being restricted to non-squamous histology). Neo- or adjuvant therapies were allowed if they had been administered at least 1 year before inclusion. The other main inclusion criteria were: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS) 0/1, stage IIIB-IV NSCLC, histological diagnosis, no known *EGFR* mutation or *ALK* or receptor tyrosine kinase (*ROS*) translocations, at least two slides of the initial tumor sample available and a Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors 1.1 (RECIST) target lesion.

Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, known immune deficiency, PS > 2, inclusion in a first-line clinical therapeutic trial, patient who received first-line PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy.

The following information was collected: age; sex; smoking status; histology; stage; metastasis number(s) and site(s); *EGFR* and *ALK/ROS*, if tested; PD-L1 status; first-, second-, and third-line treatments; RECIST 1.1 responses to each treatment line.

Local response evaluations, blinded to PD-L1 expression, were done every 6 weeks during platin-doublet chemotherapy, then every 8 weeks for the following lines and for patients no longer on treatment but who had not yet progressed.

To assure that PD-L1 expression was assessed with the same methodology for all patients, immunohistochemical PD-L1 expression was determined centrally for tumortissue slides with ≥ 100 cells. For each patient's specimen, immune-labeling followed the procedure recommended by Dako, with the monoclonal antibody 22C3 in the Dako Autostainer. Each labeling run included a negative control (slide without antibody) and pathologists considered that at least one immune cell on each cell had to be positive for PD-L1 for the tumor to be IC PD-L1+ in each slide. When all cells were negative, a new immune-labeling test was done on another slide. Two totally negative immune-labeling experiments were considered a true negative. The percentage of PD-L1-expressing tumor cells was evaluated (0 to 100%); the semi-quantitative immune-cell score for the lymphocyte infiltrate in the lung parenchyma was graded as follows: null, negative; intermediate, several positive cells; high, numerous positive cells.

Statistical methods

First-line progression-free survival (PFS1) was defined as the time between starting first-line chemotherapy and RECIST progression or death; second progression-free

survival (PFS2) and third progression-free survival (PFS3) were defined as the time between starting second and third-line chemotherapy and RECIST progression or death; overall survival (OS) was defined as the time between first-line-treatment onset and death.

Different subpopulations were subjected to descriptive and comparative analyses of qualitative and quantitative variables. Categorical variables were compared with the Chi² test; comparisons of continuous variables, whose distributions were close to normal used non-significant Shapiro–Wilk test, the Student's *t*-test or analysis of variance. When distributions were not normal non-parametric Wilcoxon, Kruskal–Wallis tests were used. All tests were two-sided, with alpha fixed at 5%.

Ethical Considerations

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. It was recorded to ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02785562 and was approved by the Personal Protection Committee (comite de protection des personnes n° 15041MS6) for all participating centers. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

All participating physicians were trained in good clinical practices.

Role of the Funding Source

The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had the final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

Between 22 July 2016 and 31 May 2017, 19 centers screened 198 consecutive, immunotherapy-naïve, advanced-NSCLC patients and included 140 of them: median age \pm standard deviation (SD) 66.5 ± 10 years, 76.4% men, 79.3% Caucasian and 10.7% nonsmokers. The main reason for non-inclusion was insufficient biopsy-tissue available ($n = 32$), cytology-based diagnosis ($n = 11$), not eligible for first-line platin-doublet chemotherapy ($n = 15$). Almost two-thirds of the tumors were adenocarcinomas, 81.5% of the patients were in good general condition with ECOG PS = 0/1, 81.4% were symptomatic at diagnosis, and 22.1% had >5% weight loss (Table 1). Eight (2.9%) patients had tumors harboring an oncogenic

Table 1 Characteristics of the 140 NSCLC Patients at Diagnosis

Characteristics	Value
Male sex	107 (76.4)
Ethnic origin	
Caucasian	111 (79.3)
Asian	3 (2.1)
Others	8 (5.7)
Unknown	18 (12.9)
Tobacco	
Nonsmoker	15 (10.7)
Smoker	76 (54.3)
Ex-smoker	49 (35)
Number of pack-years, mean \pm SD	41.5 \pm 17.7
Weight loss	
$\leq 5\%$	69 (49.3)
$> 5\%$	31 (22.1)
Unknown	40 (28.6)
ECOG PS	
0	47 (33.6)
1	67 (47.9)
2	14 (10)
> 2	12 (8.5)
Symptomatic at diagnosis	114 (81.4)
Stage	
III	27 (19.3)
IV	113 (80.7)
Histological type	
Adenocarcinoma	90 (64.3)
Squamous	38 (27.1)
Undifferentiated	12 (8.6)
Number of metastatic sites	
1	24 (17.1)
2	47 (33.6)
> 2	69 (49.3)

Note: Values are expressed as n (%) unless stated otherwise.

Abbreviation: ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status.

Table 2 Characteristics of the 140 NSCLC Patients According to Tumor-Cell or Immune-Cell PD-L1 Expressions

Characteristics	Tumor-Cell PD-L1 Expression			Immune-Cell PD-L1 Expression		
	<1%	>1% but <50%	>50%	Null	Intermediate	High
Number	66	36	38	50	54	36
Age, mean \pm SD	67.1 \pm 9	65.3 \pm 10	66.6 \pm 11*	66.2 \pm 9.9	67.4 \pm 10.2	65.6 \pm 10*
Male sex	54 (81.8)	27 (75)	26 (68.4)*	39 (78)	42 (77.8)	26 (72.2)*
Caucasian n (%)	52 (78.8)	30 (83.3)	29 (76.3)*	36 (72)	44 (81.5)	31 (86.1)*
Nonsmoker (%)	7 (10.6)	2 (5.6)	6 (15.8)*	4 (8)	6 (11.1)	5 (13.9)*
Weight loss \leq 5% (%)	11 (16.7)	11 (30.6)	9 (23.7)*	8 (16)	13 (24.1)	10 (27.8)*
ECOG PS (%)						
0	20 (30.3)	14 (38.9)	13 (34.2)*	14 (28)	17 (31.5)	16 (44.4)*
1	36 (54.5)	17 (47.2)	14 (36.8)	29 (58)	23 (42.6)	15 (41.7)
2	6 (9.1)	3 (8.3)	5 (13.2)	5 (10)	8 (14.8)	1 (2.8)
>2	4 (6.1)	2 (5.6)	6 (15.8)	2 (4)	10 (8.5)	4 (11.1)
Symptomatic at diagnosis (%)	51 (77.3)	33 (91.7)	30 (78.9)*	35 (70)	43 (79.6)	27 (75%)
Histological type (%)						
Adenocarcinoma	42 (63.6)	23 (63.9)	25 (65.8)*	35 (70)	33 (61.1)	22 (61.1)*
Squamous	20 (30.3)	11 (30.6)	7 (18.4)	11 (22)	18 (33.3)	9 (25)
Undifferentiated	4 (6.1)	2 (5.6)	6 (15.8)	4 (8)	3 (5.6)	5 (13.9)

Notes: Values are expressed as n (%), unless stated otherwise. *No significant.

Abbreviation: ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status.

mutation: *EGFR* (n = 4), *BRAF* (v-*RAF* murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B; n = 1) or *HER2* (human epidermal growth factor receptor-2; n = 1); or a *ROS1* (n = 1) or *ALK* translocation (n = 1).

The percentages of patients whose tumor cells expressed <1%, <50% or \geq 50% PD-L1, respectively, were 47.1%, 25.7% or 27.2%. The respective null, moderate or high immune-cell PD-L1-expression scores were 35.7%, 38.6% or 25.7%. Patient characteristics according to PD-L1 expression on tumor or immune cells did not differ significantly (Table 2). Too few patients had an oncogenic mutation to make analyses pertinent.

All patients received first-line platin-doublet chemotherapy, 82 (58.6%) were given second-line therapy, mainly docetaxel monotherapy and gemcitabine and 37 (26.4%) a third line (gemcitabine or vinorelbine). None received immunotherapy during administration of those lines.

After median follow-up of 16 (95% confidence interval (CI): 8.2–22.2) months, PFS1, PFS2 and PFS3 were,

respectively, 4.6 (95% CI, 3.6–5.2), 3.7 (95% CI, 2.3–4.7) and 2.2 (95% CI, 1.5–4.3) months (Figure 1A–C, respectively). PFS did not differ significantly according to PD-L1 expression on tumor or immune cells (Table 3). Median OS lasted 16.9 (95% CI, 11.4–19.9) months (Figure 2), with no significant difference according to tumor or immune cell PD-L1 expression.

Discussion

The results of this multicenter, prospective observational study based on 140 immunotherapy-naïve advanced-NSCLC patients not given anti-PD-1/PD-L1 agents indicated no PFS or OS differences as a function of PD-L1 expression on tumor or immune cells. In this context, PD-L1 expression does not seem to be a prognostic factor.

Reported data in this setting have been contradictory but most studies were retrospective and few concerned metastatic diseases.^{6–20} According to a retrospective analysis of 120 NSCLC-tissue specimens,⁸ PD-L1 expression was not associated with patient age, sex or histo-pathological type,




Figure 1 Progression-free survival probability after (A) first-line, (B) second-line or (C) third-line treatment.

but was significantly associated with the degree of tumor-cell differentiation, tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage and OS. Poor tumor-cell differentiation and advanced TNM stage were associated with higher PD-L1 expression. Five-year OS was longer for patients with PD-L1-negative NSCLCs than those with tumors expressing PD-L1 ($P < 0.0001$). A meta-analysis¹⁹ based on 1550 NSCLC patients from 9 studies showed that sex, smoking status, histological type, invasive depth of tumor, lymph-node metastatic status and TNM stage were not associated with PD-L1 expression. High immune-cell PD-L1 expression was associated with poor tumor differentiation (odds ratio: 0.53, 95% CI: 0.39–0.72, $p < 0.0001$) and shorter OS (hazards ratio: 1.47; 95% CI: 1.19–1.83, $p = 0.0004$). In contrast, the results of another analysis¹³ that evaluated 204 advanced-NSCLC patients found no statistically significant association between PD-L1 expression and OS. Those findings were unchanged when PD-L1 levels were stratified by median or tertiles.

Our analysis was conducted on patients with metastatic NSCLCs. It is possible that PD-L1 expression might be a better prognostic factor for earlier disease stages, knowing that one of the limitations of these studies is the representativity of the patients analyzed. According to an analysis of patients with inoperable locally advanced NSCLCs (concurrent or sequential radio-chemotherapy), only 43 of the 107 screened patients had sufficient tissue for IHC.¹⁵ As herein, their patients' characteristics (eg, age, smoking status and sex) did not differ significantly according to PD-L1 expression. After median follow-up of 103.6 months, PFS lasted 19.9 months for patients with PD-L1-negative tumors and 10.1 months for those whose NSCLCs expressed PD-L1 ($P = 0.006$), with respective median OS of 28.4 and 12.1 months ($P = 0.012$). In that study, PD-L1 expression was negative prognostic factor for PFS and OS after concurrent chemo-radiotherapy. However, because too few patients had sufficient tumor samples for immune-histochemistry analysis, no definitive conclusions could be drawn.¹⁵

Table 3 PFS and OS According to Tumor-Cell PD-L1 Expression and Immune-Cell Score


Criterion	mPFS ^a (95% CI), Months	mOS ^a (95% CI), Months
Tumor-cell PD-L1		
<1%	3.9 (3.1–4.9)	14.9 (9.5–17.7)*
>1% but <50%	5.9 (4.2–7.6)	20.9 (8–30.3)
>50%	3.9 (2.8–5.7)	19.2 (11.4–22.6)
Immune-cell score		
Null	4.5 (3–5.9)	16.9 (9–22)*
Intermediate	4.4 (3.3–6)	17.5 (10.4–28.7)
High	4.7 (3.1–5.7)	14 (7.9–22.5)

Notes: ^aNo significant mPFS or mOS differences were observed according to PD-L1 expression on tumor or immune cells. *No significant.

Abbreviations: mPFS, median progression-free survival; mOS, median overall survival; CI, confidence interval.

Several studies^{16–22} included patients with early-stage NSCLCs. PD-L1 analysis of a series of 108 patients' surgically resected primary NSCLCs showed that median OS was significantly longer for those with PD-L1-negative tumors than those whose tumors expressed the ligand (96 vs 33 months, respectively; $P < 0.001$). According to the analysis of the non-squamous-cell carcinoma subgroup, median OS was longer for patients with PD-L1-expressing tumors than without (113 vs 37 months, respectively; $P < 0.001$).

In multivariate analyses, PD-L1 expression was significantly associated with shorter OS.¹⁹ However, analysis of 224 resected NSCLC specimens showed that OS as a function of PD-L1 expression or not was comparable for squamous-cell histology. PD-L1 expression on nonsquamous-cell NSCLCs was associated with significantly shorter OS ($P = 0.01$).²²

**Figure 2** Median overall survival.

Finally, based on 205 surgically resected NSCLCs, $\geq 1\%$ PD-L1-positivity was not associated with any clinical-histopathological characteristic and prognoses were poorer for patients with PD-L1-positive tumors than those PD-L1-negative, defined as $<1\%$.¹²

In addition to PD-L1 expression on tumor cells, the tumor's immune environment is of importance. Based on a series of stage I squamous-cell NSCLCs,¹⁵ PD-L1 expression was significantly associated with higher percentages of tumor epithelial CD8+ T cells and stromal CD4+ T cells; PD-L1-positive tumor cells were negatively associated with PD-L1-positive immune cells within the tumor stroma and tumor PD-L1 expression; and more extensive CD4+ T-cell infiltrations were independent predictors of longer OS.¹⁷ In addition, analysis of 323 surgically resected lung adenocarcinomas⁶ showed that former smokers had higher ($\geq 50\%$) PD-L1 expression than current smokers ($P = 0.026$) and was associated with more pack-years ($P = 0.016$). PD-L1-positivity was significantly associated with adenocarcinoma histology ($P < 0.001$), abnormal p53 expression ($P < 0.001$) and immune-cell PD-L1 expression ($P < 0.001$). In light of the poorer relapse-free survival ($P < 0.001$) and OS ($P < 0.001$) for patients with stage I–III NSCLCs harboring PD-L1-positive tumor cells, the authors concluded that tumor and immune cells expressing PD-L1, adenocarcinoma histology and abnormal p53 expression are significantly associated with survival and should be considered when analyzing these patients' clinical outcomes.

Our study, despite its prospective and multicenter design, has several limitations. A selection bias might be inherent because only patients with sufficient tumor material were included, ie, among the 198 patients screened, only 140 could be retained for analysis. Because it was an observational study, some data could be missing. Nonetheless, because none of the patients had received anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy, interaction with the disease's natural history can be excluded.

In conclusion, based on this prospective, multicenter study on consecutive series of advanced-NSCLC patients managed with chemotherapy, PD-L1 expression does not seem to be a prognostic factor.

Acknowledgments

The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Funding

Funding for this research was provided by MSD. The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

Disclosure

Dr Jean-Bernard Auliac reports grants, personal fees, non-financial support from Astra Zeneca and MSD; grants from BMS and Roche; personal fees from Amgen and Boehringer Ingelheim, during the conduct of the study. Dr Florian Guisier reports personal fees, non-financial support from BMS, MSD, Roche, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Astra Zeneca, outside the submitted work. Professor Christos Chouaid reports grants, personal fees, non-financial support from Roche, MSD, AZ, BMS, Amgen, Lilly, BI, GSK, Janssen, Pfizer, Takeda, and Novartis, outside the submitted work. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Wang Y, Pang Z, Chen X, et al. Survival nomogram for patients with initially diagnosed metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: a SEER-based study. *Future Oncol.* 2019;15(29):3395–3409. doi:10.2217/fon-2019-0007
- Huang J, Reckamp KL. Immunotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med.* 2020;41(03):400–408. doi:10.1055/s-0040-1710077
- Chen R, Manochakian R, James L, et al. Emerging therapeutic agents for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2020;13(1):58. doi:10.1186/s13045-020-00881-7
- Petrelli F, Maltese M, Tomasello G, et al. Clinical and molecular predictors of PD-L1 expression in non-small-cell lung cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Lung Cancer.* 2018;19(4):315–322. doi:10.1016/j.clcc.2018.02.006
- Yuan M, Huang LL, Chen JH, et al. The emerging treatment landscape of targeted therapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* 2019;4(1):61. doi:10.1038/s41392-019-0099-9
- Adam J, Boris A, Lacas B, et al. Prognostic value of PDL1 expression in stage III non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated by chemoradiotherapy (CRT). *Eur J Cancer.* 2015;51:S604. doi:10.1016/S0959-8049(16)31668-9
- Cha YJ, Kim HR, Lee CY, et al. Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of programmed cell death ligand-1 expression in lung adenocarcinoma and its relationship with p53 status. *Lung Cancer.* 2016;97:73–80. doi:10.1016/j.lungcan.2016.05.001
- Chen YB, Mu CY, Huang JA. Clinical significance of programmed death ligand-1 expression in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a 5-year follow-up study. *Tumori.* 2012;98(6):751–755. doi:10.1177/030089161209800612
- Brody R, Zhang Y, Ballas M, et al. PD-L1 expression in advanced NSCLC: insights into risk stratification and treatment selection from a systematic literature review. *Lung Cancer.* 2017;112:200–215. doi:10.1016/j.lungcan.2017.08.005
- Cooper WA, Tran T, Vilain RE, et al. PD-L1 expression is a favorable prognostic factor in early stage non-small cell carcinoma. *Lung Cancer.* 2015;89(2):181–188. doi:10.1016/j.lungcan.2015.05.007
- Mu CY, Huang JA, Chen Y, et al. High expression of PD-L1 in lung cancer may contribute to poor prognosis and tumor cells immune escape through suppressing tumor infiltrating dendritic cells maturation. *Med Oncol.* 2011;28(3):682–688. doi:10.1007/s12032-010-9515-2
- Schmidt LH, Kummel A, Gorlich D, et al. PD-1 and PD-L1 expression in NSCLC indicate a favorable prognosis in defined subgroups. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(8):e0136023. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136023
- Sorensen S, Zhou W, Dolled-Filhart M, et al. PD-L1 expression and survival among patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with chemotherapy. *Transl Oncol.* 2016;9(1):64–69. doi:10.1016/j.tranon.2016.01.003
- Takada K, Okamoto T, Toyokawa G, et al. The expression of PD-L1 protein as a prognostic factor in lung squamous cell carcinoma. *Lung Cancer.* 2017;104:7–15. doi:10.1016/j.lungcan.2016.12.006
- Vrankar M, Zwitter M, Kern I, Stanic K. PD-L1 expression can be regarded as prognostic factor for survival of non-small cell lung cancer patients after chemoradiotherapy. *Neoplasma.* 2018;65(01):140–146. doi:10.4149/neo_2018_170206N77
- Wang A, Wang HY, Liu Y, et al. The prognostic value of PD-L1 expression for non-small cell lung cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Surg Oncol.* 2015;41(4):450–456. doi:10.1016/j.ejso.2015.01.020
- Yang CY, Lin MW, Chang YL, et al. Programmed cell death-ligand expression is associated with a favourable immune microenvironment and better overall survival in stage I pulmonary squamous cell carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer.* 2016;57:91–103. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2015.12.033
- Zhang Y, Wang L, Li Y, et al. Protein expression of programmed death 1 ligand 1 and ligand 2 independently predict poor prognosis in surgically resected lung adenocarcinoma. *Onco Targets Ther.* 2014;7:567–573. doi:10.2147/OTT.S59959
- Zhou ZJ, Zhan P, Song Y. PD-L1 over-expression and survival in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 2015;89(2):203–208. doi:10.1016/j.lungcan.2015.06.005
- Zhou C, Tang J, Sun H, et al. PD-L1 expression as poor prognostic factor in patients with non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(35):58457–58468. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.17022
- Pan ZK, Ye F, Wu X, et al. Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of programmed cell death ligand1 (PD-L1) expression in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *J Thorac Dis.* 2015;7(3):462–470. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.02.13
- Shimoji M, Shimizu S, Sato K, et al. Clinical and pathologic features of lung cancer expressing programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1). *Lung Cancer.* 2016;98:69–75. doi:10.1016/j.lungcan.2016.04.021

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic

agents and protocols on patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal>

Dovepress