	Exergame			Control			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [Seconds]	SD [Seconds]	Total	Mean [Seconds]	SD [Seconds]	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI [Seconds]	IV, Random, 95% CI [Seconds]
1.5.1 Active control g	group (other exercis	se program)							
Martel 2018	22.6	22.8	8	24.2	19.9	16	2.4%	-1.60 [-20.17, 16.97] ⁻¹	·
Pompeu 2012	31.2	23.1	16	23.4	19.9	16	3.7%	7.80 [-7.14, 22.74]	-
Yeşilyaprak 2016 Subtotal (95% CI)	24.45	9.85	7 31	14.6	9.9	11 43	9.5% 15.7%	9.85 [0.50, 19.20] 7.60 [0.31, 14.89]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² = 1.17, df	= 2 (P = 0.56); I	² = 0%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.04 (P = 0.04)								
1.5.2 Passive control	group (no exercise	e program)							
Lai 2013	48.7	26.7	15	23	21.5	15	2.8%	25.70 [8.35, 43.05]	
Lauze 2018	17.9	20.8	6	6.7	8.6	6	2.6%	11.20 [-6.81, 29.21]	
Lee 2013	21.8	8.1	27	15.95	6.1	28	57.7%	5.85 [2.05, 9.65]	
Lee 2017	24.6	13.5	26	18.2	10	21	18.4%	6.40 [-0.32, 13.12]	-
Martel 2018	22.6	22.8	8	10.6	10.5	12	2.9%	12.00 [-4.88, 28.88]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			82			82	84.3%	8.08 [3.42, 12.75]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	7.43; Chi ² = 5.39, df	$= 4 (P = 0.25); I^2$	2 = 26%	6					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.39 (P = 0.0007)	7)							
Total (95% CI)			113			125	100.0%	7.09 [4.21, 9.98]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² = 6.58, df	$= 7 (P = 0.47); I^2$	2 = 0%					-	10 10 10
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.82 (P < 0.00001)$								-10 -5 0 5 10 Favours Exergames Favours control	
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 0.01, df = 1 (P = 0.91), I^2 = 0%								Favours Exergames Favours control	

Figure 4: Forest plot for the One-leg stance.