



**HAL**  
open science

## **Interrelations between Body Mass Index, Frailty, and Clinical Adverse Events in Older Community-Dwelling Women: The EPIDOS Cohort Study**

Emmanuelle Boutin, Pierre-André Natella, Anne-Marie Schott, Sylvie Bastuji-Garin, Jean-Philippe David, Elena Paillaud, Yves Rolland, Florence Canouï-Poitrine

► **To cite this version:**

Emmanuelle Boutin, Pierre-André Natella, Anne-Marie Schott, Sylvie Bastuji-Garin, Jean-Philippe David, et al.. Interrelations between Body Mass Index, Frailty, and Clinical Adverse Events in Older Community-Dwelling Women: The EPIDOS Cohort Study. *Clinical Nutrition*, 2018, 37 (5), pp.1638-1644. 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.07.023 . hal-04158354

**HAL Id: hal-04158354**

**<https://hal.u-pec.fr/hal-04158354v1>**

Submitted on 11 Jul 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Title: Interrelations between Body Mass Index, Frailty, and Clinical Adverse Events in**  
2 **Older Community-Dwelling Women: The EPIDOS Cohort Study**

3

4 **Emmanuelle Boutin<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Pierre-André Natella<sup>3</sup>, Anne-Marie Schott<sup>4,5</sup>, Sylvie Bastuji-**  
5 **Garin<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jean-Philippe David<sup>2,6</sup>, Elena Paillaud<sup>2,7</sup>, Yves Rolland<sup>8\*</sup>, Florence Canoui-**  
6 **Poitrine<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>**

7

8 1. AP-HP, Hôpital Henri-Mondor, Public Health Department, F-94000, Créteil, France

9 2. Université Paris-Est, UPEC, DHU A-TVB, IMRB- EA 7376 CEpiA (Clinical  
10 Epidemiology And Ageing Unit), F-94000, Créteil, France

11 3. AP-HP, Hôpital Henri-Mondor, Clinical Research Unit (URC-Mondor), F-94000 Créteil,  
12 France

13 4. Hospices Civils de Lyon, Pole Information Médicale Evaluation Recherche (IMER),  
14 Lyon, France

15 5. Université de Lyon, EA Health Services and Performance Research (HESPER), Lyon,  
16 France

17 6. APHP, Hôpital Emile-Roux, Service de Gériatrie, F-94000 Créteil, France

18 7. APHP, Hôpital Henri-Mondor, Service de Gériatrie, F-94000, Créteil, France

19 8. Gérontopôle de Toulouse; INSERM U1027, University of Toulouse III, Toulouse,  
20 France

21

22 **Corresponding author:** Florence Canoui-Poitrine, MD, PhD, Service de Santé Publique,  
23 Hôpital Henri-Mondor, 51 avenue du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil Cédex,  
24 FRANCE

25 E-mail: [florence.canoui-poitaine@aphp.fr](mailto:florence.canoui-poitaine@aphp.fr)

26 Tel.: +33 149 813 674

27 \*These authors contributed equally to this work

28 Abstract 288 words; word count: 5050

29 **Running title:** BMI, Frailty, and Clinical Events in Older Women

30 **Conflicts of interest:** None

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51 **Abstract**

52 **Background:** The hypothesis of reverse epidemiology holds that, obesity may reduce the  
53 risk of clinical adverse events in older subjects. However, this association is controversial  
54 and rarely explored according to the underlying health status. We tested this phenomenon by  
55 assessing the association between body mass index (BMI) and clinical adverse events in  
56 community dwelling older women according to their frailty status.

57 **Methods:** EPIDOS is a multicenter prospective cohort of community-dwelling women aged  
58 75 and older recruited between 1992 and 1994. At baseline, we collected demographics,  
59 BMI (<21 kg/m<sup>2</sup>: underweight; 21-24.9: normal weight; 25-29.9: overweight and ≥ 30:  
60 obesity), frailty through Fried model, and clinical characteristics. All-cause mortality, falls  
61 and hip fractures, and hospital admission were collected within 5 years of follow-up and  
62 were analyzed using univariate and multivariate survival analysis by using Kaplan-Meier  
63 methods and Cox Hazard Proportional models.

64 **Results:** Of 6662 women (mean age, 80.4 years), 11.6%; 95% Confidence Interval (95% CI)  
65 CI [10.8%-12.3%] were frail. By multivariate analysis, the risk of death in frail women  
66 (compared to not-frail normal weight women) decreases with increase of BMI: aHR<sub>frail-</sub>  
67 <sub>underweight</sub>=2.04[1.23-3.39]; aHR<sub>frail-normal weight</sub>=3.07[2.21-4.26]; aHR<sub>frail-overweight</sub>= 1.83[1.31-  
68 2.56]; aHR<sub>frail-obese</sub>= 1.76 [1.15-2.70]; p<0.001). Frail overweight and obese women had a  
69 significant lower risk of death than frail normal- weight women (p=0.004). Similar features  
70 were found for fall risk and hip fracture and for not-frail women. The relative risks of  
71 hospital admission for normal weight, overweight and obese frail women were similar (aHR  
72 <sub>frail-normal weight</sub>, 1.50[1.22-1.84], 1.48<sub>frail-overweight</sub> [1.26-1.74] and 1.53<sub>frail-obese</sub> [1.24-1.89],  
73 respectively).

74 **Conclusion:** Our results suggest that overweight and obesity reduce the risks of clinical  
75 adverse events in frail community-dwelling older women and that frailty definition through  
76 Fried model had to be re-calibrated for overweight and obese individuals.

77 **Keywords:** Body mass index, frailty, fall, death, hospital admission, older.

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99 **INTRODUCTION**

100

101 Obesity and overweight are common in community-dwelling older people. In the  
102 United States, 28% of people aged 75 years or older were obese. In Europe, 48% of people  
103 aged 65 and older were overweight. Obesity is associated with higher mortality in middle-  
104 aged individuals(1–4), but whether the same is true in older people is unclear (1,5–9).  
105 Whether obesity is a risk factor for clinical adverse events such as death, falls, fracture or  
106 hospital admission remains controversial in older persons and may depend on the underlying  
107 medical condition. Indeed, some studies showed positive associations linking overweight  
108 and obesity to mortality and disability(6,10), whereas others found no associations or  
109 negative associations(1,5,7,8,11–13). Overweight and obesity are associated with better  
110 outcomes in various medical conditions(5,14–17).

111 Frailty is a state of general vulnerability associated with an increased risk for clinical  
112 adverse events (18–20). Although there is no consensus on the definition of frailty, the  
113 model developed by Fried et al. leading to the original definition in the CHS Study and  
114 adapted measures in different clinical settings is widely used (19,21). To our knowledge,  
115 potential interrelations linking obesity, frailty, and clinical adverse events in older people  
116 have been investigated in a single study: frail overweight individuals were less likely to fall  
117 compared to their thinner counterparts. However, the fall risk was the only outcome of  
118 interest(14).

119 Our hypothesis is that a protective effect of obesity, the so call obesity paradox or the  
120 paradox of reverse epidemiology(16) , may also be observed in the context of frailty.  
121 In the present study, we investigated associations between 4 categories of BMI  
122 (underweight, normal weight, overweight and obesity) and clinical adverse events in  
123 community-dwelling older women according to their frailty status.

124 **METHODS**

125

126 **Study design and population**

127 We conducted a secondary analysis of the EPIDOS population (EPIdémieologie De  
128 l'OStéoporose, Epidemiology of Osteoporosis), which is a French multicenter prospective  
129 longitudinal cohort of 7598 women aged 75 years or older recruited in five French cities  
130 between 1992 and 1994.

131

132 **Baseline data collection**

133 *Assessment of frailty*

134 EPIDOS was conducted before the validation of the frailty criteria developed by Fried  
135 et al.(19). However, the standardized and systematic measures at baseline of grip strength  
136 walk speed, physical activity and anthropometric characteristics enable to build a frailty  
137 index very close of Fried model, the only adapted criteria being exhaustion. Therefore,  
138 frailty was defined as the presence of three or more of the following criteria:

1391. Weight loss  $\geq 4.5$  kg from baseline to the end of the first study year;

1402. Exhaustion was approximated by a “bad” or “very bad” answer to the question “In general,  
141 do you consider your current health very good, good, bad, or very bad?”;

1423. Weakness, i.e., mean grip strength on the left and right sides in the lowest 20% at baseline,  
143 as measured using a dynamometer (Takei Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Women were classified as frail  
144 when grip strength was  $< 43$  kPa;

1454. Low physical activity level, i.e., a “no” answer to two questions, “Do you regularly practice  
146 sport or have a physical activity such as recreational walking, gymnastics, cycling,  
147 swimming or gardening?” and “Do you do heavy housework on a regular basis, such as  
148 vacuum cleaning or floor washing?”; and

1495. Slow walking speed, as measured during the baseline examination as the mean speed of two  
150 6-meter walking tests, is defined by a walking speed  $\leq 0.65$  m/s for women  $\leq 159$  cm and  
151  $\leq 0.76$  m/s for women  $> 159$  cm.

#### 152 *Instrumental Activities of Daily Living*

153 The women were asked if they experienced difficulty in performing any of Lawton's  
154 eight Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL). Altered IADL was considered when  $\leq$   
155  $7/8$ .

#### 156 *Anthropometric measurements*

157 Body weight and height were measured at inclusion. BMI was calculated as weight  
158 (kg)/height<sup>2</sup> (m<sup>2</sup>). We classified the women into four BMI categories: underweight, BMI  $< 21$   
159 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; normal weight, BMI = 21.0-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; overweight, BMI = 25.0-29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; and obese,  
160 BMI  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

161 Waist circumference  $> 88$  cm was defined as abnormal. To assess body composition,  
162 dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) was performed by trained technicians using QDR  
163 4500 W Hologic machines (Hologic, Waltham, MA) calibrated daily at each center and  
164 cross-calibrated across centers at regular intervals. Skeletal muscle mass (SMM) was  
165 computed as the ratio of appendicular skeletal mass over height in meters squared. We used  
166 two definitions of low SMM:  $< 5.45$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and SMM within the lowest quartile ( $< 5.80$   
167 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in our study). Sarcopenic obesity was defined by a SMM  $< 5.45$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> or  $< 5.80$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>  
168 and a BMI  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (22,23). SMM data were available only for individuals recruited at the  
169 Lyon and Toulouse centers.

170

#### 171 *Demographic and socioeconomic factors*

172 At baseline, age, level of education, and the fact of living alone were recorded. A  
173 physical examination and health status questionnaire were used to identify comorbidities



174 ( $\geq 2$ ), past health issues, and treatments ( $\geq 2$ ). Smoking status, and alcohol consumption were  
175 recorded.

176

## 177 **Follow-up**

178 We considered four outcomes: death, falls, hip fractures, and hospital admissions. Falls  
179 and hip fractures were assessed every 4 months for 4 years until 1998. All women had an  
180 annual evaluation.

181 Mortality was ascertained through the end of 1998 by telephone calls and mail to the  
182 proxies, primary-care physicians and Office Public Record.

183

## 184 **Statistical analysis**

185 Continuous variables are described as mean (SD) or median (25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentile) and  
186 categorical variables as n (%).

187 Baseline characteristics according to frailty status were compared using Student's *t* test  
188 or the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test, the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test as appropriate.

189 Rates of death and of the other three outcomes within the 5 years of follow-up were  
190 determined using Kaplan-Meier survival method and expressed per 100 person-years.

191 Factors associated with each of the four outcomes were identified using univariate Cox  
192 models. The main variable of interest was the frailty/BMI composite leading to 8 categories:  
193 underweight-not-frail (group 1); underweight-frail (group 2); normal weight-not-frail (group  
194 3); normal weight-frail (group 4); overweight-not-frail (group 5); overweight-frail (group 6);  
195 obese-not-frail (group 7); and obese-frail (group 8). The reference category was "group 3".

196 We built four multivariate Cox models based on the variables associated with *P* values  $< 0.20$   
197 by univariate analysis. Pairwise analyses were done to assess confounding factors, and

198 interactions were sought. A trend test was used to assess the potential gradient between the 4  
199 categories of BMI and the different outcomes.

200 An analysis stratified on waist circumference ( $\leq$  or  $>$  88 cm) was carried out for death,  
201 fall, hip fracture and hospital admission.

202 All tests were two-sided. *P* values lower than 0.05 were considered significant, *P*  
203 values between 0.05 and 0.10 as trend. The analysis was conducted using Stata V12.1  
204 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

205

## 206 **RESULTS**

207

### 208 **Study population**

209 Among the 7598 women in the EPIDOS cohort, 936 were excluded because of missing  
210 data for assessing the frailty variable, leaving 6662 women for the analysis. The  
211 characteristics of the two groups, ie included vs excluded, were similar, except for age  
212 (mean, 80 years versus 81 years,  $P<0.037$ ), the higher level of education (15.7% versus  
213 12.7% had graduated from high school or obtained a higher education,  $P<0.001$ ), and the  
214 functional status (median [quartile1-quartile3] IADL, 8 [7-8] versus 8 [7-8],  $P=0.010$ ).

215 Frailty was noted in 771/6662 (11.6%; 95%CI, 10.8%-12.3%) women; 8.7% of women  
216 met three frailty criteria, 2.7% four criteria, and 0.2% five criteria. The proportion of  
217 weakness decreased with the increase of BMI, and the proportion of weight loss and slow  
218 walking speed increased with the increase of BMI (Table 1).

219 Compared to not-frail women, frail women were older, had a lower level of education,  
220 lived less frequently alone, consumed less alcohol, had a higher BMI, had a lower level of  
221 autonomy, had more comorbidities, took more medications, used more treatment with  
222 hypnotics, psychoactive drugs, and cardiovascular drugs including antihypertensive agents,

223 had more fear of falling, had fallen more frequently in the last 6 months, had more often  
224 been admitted in hospital in the last 12 months, and had more previous fractures. No subject  
225 had sarcopenic obesity according to the definition 1 of sarcopenia and very few (0.4%)  
226 according to definition 2 (Table 2).

227 The proportion of frail women according to baseline BMI category indicates a J-  
228 shaped variation: the proportion of frail women was intermediate in the underweight  
229 category (n=89; 10.8%), the lowest in the normal-weight category (n=206; 8.5%), and  
230 highest in the overweight and obese categories (n=304; 12.1% and n=172; 20.3%  
231 respectively).

## 232 **Death**

233 Of the 6662 women include for the analysis, 520 died within the 5 years of follow-up  
234 (mortality rate: 1.88 per 100 persons-years; 95% CI: 1.6-2.2).

235 By univariate analysis, frailty was associated with death in the 4 BMI categories  
236 (cHR<sub>group 4</sub>=5.20[3.79-5.14]; cHR<sub>group 2</sub>=4.00[2.45-6.54]; cHR<sub>group 6</sub>=3.14[2.29-4.31]; and  
237 cHR<sub>group 8</sub>=2.88[1.92-4.32]). The risk of death decreased with the increase of BMI (p for  
238 trend=0.06). Other variables associated with death by univariate analysis were older age  
239 (cHR<sub>per additional year</sub>=1.15[1.13-1.17]); low educational attainment (cHR=1.43[1.14-1.78]);  
240 number of comorbidities  $\geq 2$  (cHR <sub>$\geq 2$  vs.  $< 2$</sub> =1.22[1.00-1.49]; treatment with hypnotics  
241 (cHR=1.22[1.03-1.45]), psychoactive drugs (cHR=1.25[1.01-1.54]), or cardiovascular drugs  
242 including antihypertensive agents (cHR=1.69[1.39-2.06]); fear of falling (cHR=1.30[1.09-  
243 1.54]); fall in the last 6 months (cHR=1.21[1.00-1.47]), hospital admission in the last 12  
244 months (cHR=1.74[1.43-2.12]), low self-sufficiency (cHR<sub>IADL<8 vs.  $\geq 8$</sub> =2.65[2.23-3.15).

245 By multivariate analysis adjusted for age, cardiovascular drugs including  
246 antihypertensive agents, hospital admission in the last 12 months, functional status, the  
247 association between frailty and death remained significant in the 4 categories of BMI (Figure

248 1). In the frail group, overweight and obese women had a significant lower risk of death than  
249 normal-weight women ( $p=0.004$ ) (Figure 1).

### 250 *Secondary analyses*

251 Frail overweight or obese women with a normal waist circumference ( $\leq 88$  cm) had  
252 similar risk of death than not-frail women with normal weight and normal waist  
253 circumference (aHR=1.30[0.72-2.34]). Conversely, frail overweight or obese women with a  
254 waist circumference  $>88$  cm had a higher risk of death (aHR=1.89[1.40-2.54]).

255

### 256 **Falls**

257 Of the 6662 women included for the analysis, 4061 fell within the 5 years of follow-up  
258 (fall rate: 17.9 per 100 persons-years; 95% CI:15.6-18.6).

259 By univariate analysis, frailty was associated with falls but not in the obese group  
260 (cHR<sub>group 2</sub>=1.49[1.15-1.94]; cHR<sub>group 4</sub>=1.55[1.30-1.84] and cHR<sub>group 6</sub>=1.20[1.03-1.39];  
261 cHR<sub>group 8</sub>=1.04[0.86-1.27]; respectively). The risk of fall decreased with the increase of  
262 BMI ( $p$  for trend=0.10).

263 Other variables associated with falls by univariate analysis were older age (cHR<sub>per</sub>  
264 additional year=1.03[1.02-1.04]), low educational attainment (cHR=0.82[0.75-0.89]), living alone  
265 (cHR=1.12[1.05-1.20]), smoking (cHR=1.15[1.05-1.25]), having  $\geq 2$  comorbidities  
266 (cHR=1.24[1.15-1.33]), having  $\geq 2$  treatments (cHR=1.09[1.00-1.19]), treatment with  
267 hypnotics (cHR= 1.21[1.14-1.29]) or psychoactive drugs (cHR=1.16[1.08-1.26]), fear of  
268 falling (cHR=1.27[1.20-1.36]), fall in the last 6 months (cHR=1.48[1.38-1.58]), previous  
269 fracture (cHR=1.33[1.25-1.41]), and functional status (cHR<sub>IADL<8 versus  $\geq 8$</sub> =1.09[1.02-1.17]).

270 By multivariate analysis adjusted for age, educational level, living alone, smoking,  
271 number of chronic comorbidities, treatment with hypnotics, psychoactive drugs, fear of  
272 falling, fall in the last 6 months and previous fracture, frailty in underweight and normal-

273 weight women remained significantly associated with falling. Frail overweight and obese  
274 women had a lower risk of fall, similar to not-frail women (Figure 2).

### 275 *Secondary analyses*

276 Frail overweight or obese women with a waist circumference  $\leq 88$  cm or a waist  
277 circumference  $> 88$  had similar risk of fall compared to not-frail women with normal weight  
278 and normal waist circumference (aHR=1.06[0.82-1.36] and 0.95[0.82-1.09], respectively).

279

### 280 **Hip fractures**

281 Of the 6662 women include for the analysis, 298 has a hip fracture within the 5 years  
282 of follow-up (hip fracture rate: 1.33; 95% CI:1.04-1.69).

283 By univariate analysis, frailty was associated with hip fracture but not in the obese  
284 group (cHR<sub>group 2</sub> =3.38[1.76-6.50]; cHR<sub>group 4</sub> =2.71[1.64-4.50]), cHR<sub>group 6</sub> =2.56[1.68-  
285 3.92]; cHR<sub>group 8</sub>=0.92 [0.40-2.11] ). Other variables associated with hip fractures by  
286 univariate analysis were older age (cHR<sub>per additional year</sub>=1.12[1.09-1.15]),  $\geq 2$  daily medications  
287 (cHR=1.33[1.00-1.78], use of hypnotics (cHR=1.65[1.31-2.08]), fear of falling  
288 (cHR=1.78[1.41-2.26]), fall in the last 6 months (cHR=1.45[1.13-1.86], previous fracture  
289 (cHR=1.55[1.23-1.95]), and low functional status (cHR<sub>IADL<8 vs.  $\geq 8$</sub> =2.16[1.72-2.71]).

290 By multivariate analysis adjusted for age, use of hypnotics, fear of falling, and  
291 functional status and previous fracture, frailty was not associated with hip fracture in any of  
292 the BMI categories. In the not-frail women, obese had a decreased risk of hip fracture  
293 (Figure 3).

### 294 *Secondary analyses*

295 Frail overweight or obese women with a waist circumference  $\leq 88$  cm or a waist  
296 circumference  $> 88$  had similar risk of hip fracture compared to not-frail normal weight and

297 normal waist circumference women (aHR=0.80[0.32-1.98] and 1.20[0.78-1.85],  
298 respectively).

299

### 300 **Hospital admission**

301 Of the 6662 women included for the analysis, 2673 were admitted for an  
302 hospitalization within 5 years of follow-up (Hospital admission rate:13.4 per 100 persons-  
303 years; 95%CI:10.5-15.2).

304 By univariate analysis, frailty was associated with hospital admissions in the 4 BMI  
305 categories (cHR<sub>group2</sub>=1.43[1.07-1.91], cHR<sub>group4</sub>=1.74[1.44-2.11], cHR<sub>group6</sub>=1.86[1.59-  
306 2.17], and cHR<sub>group8</sub>=1.73[1.42-1.2.12]). Other variables associated with hospital admission  
307 by univariate analysis were older age (cHR<sub>per additional year</sub>=1.02[1.01-1.03]); living alone  
308 (cHR=1.17[1.09-1.26]); smoking (cHR=1.12[1.01-1.23]); alcohol use (cHR=0.92[0.85-  
309 0.98]);  $\geq 2$  comorbidities (cHR=1.43[1.32- 1.55]);  $\geq 2$  daily medications (cHR=1.11[1.01-  
310 1.22]); use of hypnotics (cHR=1.23[1.15-1.32]), psychoactive agents (cHR=1.19[1.09-  
311 1.29]), or cardiovascular drugs including antihypertensive drugs (cHR=1.23[1.15-1.33]), fear  
312 of falling (cHR=1.25[1.16-1.33]), fall in the last 6 months (cHR=1.19[1.10-1.28]), hospital  
313 admission in the last 12 months (cHR=1.52[1.40-1.65]), previous fracture (cHR=1.13[1.06-  
314 1.21]), and low functional status (cHR<sub>IADL<8 versus  $\geq 8$</sub> =1.19[1.11-1.28]).

315 By multivariate analysis adjusted for age, living alone, smoking, number of chronic  
316 comorbidities, number of treatment, use of hypnotics, cardiovascular drugs including  
317 antihypertensive drugs, fear of falling, fall in the last 6 months, hospital admission in the last  
318 12 months and previous fracture, frailty was associated with hospital admission in the  
319 normal-weight, overweight, and obese categories compared to not-frail normal-weight  
320 women with similar level of association strengths in the 3 categories (Figure 4).

### 321 **Secondary analyses**

322 Frail overweight or obese women with a waist circumference  $\leq 88$  cm had similar risk  
323 of hospitalization compared to not-frail normal weight and normal waist circumference  
324 women (aHR=1.14[0.86-1.49]). Conversely, frail overweight or obese women with a waist  
325 circumference  $>88$  cm had a higher risk of hospitalization (aHR=1.56[1.35-1.81]).

326

## 327 **DISCUSSION**

328

329 Our results suggest that association between frailty and clinical adverse events (fall,  
330 hip fracture, hospital admission and death) is affected by overweight and obesity in older  
331 community-dwelling women. Indeed, overweight and obese frail women are at lower risk of  
332 death and fall than normal- weight frail women. Moreover, frailty was associated with  
333 increased hospital admission risk but normal-weight, overweight, and obese frail women had  
334 similar level of risk of hospital admission. However, frail overweight or obese women with a  
335 waist circumference  $> 88$  cm had higher risk of death and hospital admission than not-frail  
336 normal weight women.

337 The 11.6% prevalence of frailty in our population of individuals aged 75 years or  
338 older is close to that reported in other studies (11,14,15,20,21). Frailty and BMI category  
339 showed a J-shaped association in our study. This finding agrees with earlier reports with  
340 frailty being more prevalent in obese and underweight individuals than in their normal-  
341 weight counterparts(14,24–26).

342 In a recent study of 606 older people, frail overweight individuals were less likely to  
343 fall compared to their thinner counterparts, in keeping with our results(14). In another study,  
344 walking speed was diminished in obese older adults(27), suggesting a possible explanation  
345 of the decreased risk of fall risk in this category.

346 In the Korean Living Profiles of Older People survey, frail underweight or normal-  
347 weight individuals had higher mortality rates compared to not-frail normal-weight  
348 individuals (28). Mortality was higher in frail underweight, but not normal-weight,  
349 participants in an others studies (29,30). Both underweight and normal weight were  
350 associated with a higher risk of death in our study. The association between low BMI and  
351 increased risk of death may be mediated by reduced reserve capacity. A decrease risk of  
352 death in obese women had already been found in the EPIDOS study(9). Consistent with  
353 previous results(1), among frail obese or overweight women, those with a waist  
354 circumference >88 cm had a higher risk of death, whereas those with smaller waist  
355 circumferences did not. In contrast, another study showed no independent association linking  
356 BMI, waist circumference, and death in individuals >65 years(31). However, this study did  
357 not include stratification on the underlying health profile.

358 Our findings are in line with earlier results in frail individuals showing lower mortality  
359 among obese or overweight compared to normal-weight participants, after adjustment for  
360 age, functional status, and comorbidities(30,32). First, the fat mass may provide an energy  
361 reserve, to be mobilized against acute stress events like an acute illness. Second, obese  
362 patients may present with symptoms and morbidities earlier, and therefore earlier medical  
363 management. Another possible explanation is that frailty assessments based on Fried model  
364 may overestimate frailty in older obese individuals: indeed, obese women have more  
365 frequently  $\geq 4.5$ -kg weight loss and a slower gait speed, two criteria of the frailty Fried  
366 model, leading to higher proportion of frail women in obese groups compared to others.  
367 However, it is questionable that these two criteria are relevant frailty markers for obese  
368 women. Accordingly, the  $\geq 4.5$ -kg weight loss criterion may spuriously result in better  
369 outcomes in the obese and overweight groups, as losing this amount of weight would have  
370 less impact than in thinner individuals. Similarly, obese individuals had lower speed walking



371 in mean therefore the cut-offs for defining low walking speed for identifying frailty in obese  
372 individuals may be different compared to thinner. Studies using other measures of frailty,  
373 such as the Rockwood Frailty Index, would be useful. Finally, selective survival bias may  
374 lead to apparently better outcomes in overweight and obese individuals, as only individuals  
375 who have not died from complications of their excess weight can be included in a study.

376 In a prospective cohort study of 246 361 people age  $\geq 45$  years, in accordance with our  
377 results, there was a substantial increase of hospitalization risk in younger mid-age adults  
378 with above-normal BMI while the pattern of increasing relative risk of hospitalization with  
379 increasing above-normal BMI is fairly weak in older people(33).

380 Our study has several strengths. EPIDOS is a large prospective cohort of community-  
381 dwelling older women. It is one of the few cohorts with such a high mean age. To our  
382 knowledge, this is the first study of potential interrelations linking frailty to 4 clinical  
383 adverse events, death, falls, hip fractures, hospital admissions according to BMI and waist  
384 circumference(25).

385 Our study also has limitations. We used a Fried-adapted definition of frailty as the  
386 frailty criteria developed by Fried et al. did not exist at the time of completion of the study.  
387 However, only one criterion (exhaustion) was adapted. In many other clinical studies  
388 several criteria had to be adapted due to non-availability of grip-strength, walk speed, weight  
389 loss or anthropometric measurements and despite these proxys, adapted-frailty measures  
390 provided good prognosis values(21). Muscle mass data were collected in only two of the  
391 five study centers and the very low frequency of sarcopenic obesity in our community-  
392 dwelling setting preclude to possibility to test the association between sarcopenic obesity,  
393 frailty and clinical events. Endly the relative low number of hip fractures lead to large  
394 confidence intervals and preclude robust conclusions for this endpoint.

395 Our results may suggest that frailty, according to Fried model, may have greater  
396 prognostic impact in underweight or normal weight women than overweight or obese  
397 women. Thus, prevention and correction of frailty parameters may be particularly targeted to  
398 frail underweight and normal-weight women. Our results may also suggest that Fried  
399 definition had to be re-defined for assessing frailty in overweight and obese older adults.  
400 Indeed, as previously stated, Fried definition may misclassify obese adults as frail whereas  
401 they were not, leading to better outcomes. Is the loss of 4.5 kg a good cut-off for defining  
402 frailty in obese older women? Similarly, is a cut-off of  $\leq 0.65$  m/s if the height is  $\leq 159$  cm and  
403  $\leq 0.76$  m/s if  $> 159$  cm, adequate?  
404 Our results suggest that overweight and obesity reduce the risks of clinical adverse events in  
405 frail community-dwelling older women and that frailty definition through Fried model had to  
406 be re-calibrated for overweight and obese individuals.

#### 407 **Acknowledgments**

408 We thank Antoinette Wolfe, MD, for editing the English.

409

#### 410 **Conflict of Interest**

411 The authors declare no conflict of interest

412

#### 413 **Funding**

414 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,  
415 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

416

417

418

419

420 **References**

- 421 1. Guallar-Castillón P, Balboa-Castillo T, López-García E, León-Muñoz LM, Gutiérrez-Fisac JL,  
422 Banegas JR, et al. BMI, waist circumference, and mortality according to health status in the  
423 older adult population of Spain. *Obes Silver Spring Md* 2009 Dec;**17**:2232–8.
- 424 2. Adams KF, Schatzkin A, Harris TB, Kipnis V, Mouw T, Ballard-Barbash R, et al. Overweight,  
425 obesity, and mortality in a large prospective cohort of persons 50 to 71 years old. *N Engl J Med*  
426 2006;**355**:763–78.
- 427 3. Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, Gail MH. Excess deaths associated with  
428 underweight, overweight, and obesity. *JAMA* 2005;**293**:1861–7.
- 429 4. Ajani UA, Lotufo PA, Gaziano JM, Lee I-M, Spelsberg A, Buring JE, et al. Body mass index  
430 and mortality among US male physicians. *Ann Epidemiol* 2004;**14**:731–9.
- 431 5. Chapman IM. Obesity paradox during aging. *Interdiscip Top Gerontol* 2010;**37**:20–36.
- 432 6. Monteverde M, Noronha K, Palloni A, Novak B. Obesity and excess mortality among the  
433 elderly in the United States and Mexico. *Demography* 2010;**47**:79–96.
- 434 7. Bowen ME. The relationship between body weight, frailty, and the disablement process. *J*  
435 *Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2012;**67**:618–26.
- 436 8. de Souto Barreto P, Cadroy Y, Kelaiditi E, Vellas B, Rolland Y. The prognostic value of body-  
437 mass index on mortality in older adults with dementia living in nursing homes. *Clin Nutr.*  
438 2017;**36**:423-8.
- 439 9. Rolland Y, Gallini A, Cristini C, Schott A-M, Blain H, Beauchet O, et al. Body-composition  
440 predictors of mortality in women aged  $\geq 75$  y: data from a large population-based cohort study  
441 with a 17-y follow-up. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;**100**:1352–60.

- 442 10. Freedman DM, Ron E, Ballard-Barbash R, Doody MM, Linet MS. Body mass index and all-  
443 cause mortality in a nationwide US cohort. *Int J Obes* 2006;**30**:822–9.
- 444 11. Doundoulakis I, Poulia K-A, Antza C, Kasapidou E, Chourdakis M. Obesity paradox in elderly  
445 patients with cardiac failure- an updated review of current evidence. *Clin Nutr ESPEN*  
446 2016;**13**:e72.
- 447 12. Abi Khalil C, Sulaiman K, Singh R, Jayyousi A, Asaad N, AlHabib KF, et al. BMI is inversely  
448 correlated to the risk of mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes hospitalized for acute heart  
449 failure: Findings from the Gulf aCute heArt failuRE (Gulf-CARE) registry. *Int J Cardiol* 2017;
- 450 13. Clark DO, Gao S, Lane KA, Callahan CM, Baiyewu O, Ogunniyi A, et al. Obesity and 10-Year  
451 Mortality in Very Old African Americans and Yoruba-Nigerians: Exploring the Obesity  
452 Paradox. *J Gerontol Ser A* 2014;**69**:1162–9.
- 453 14. Sheehan KJ, O’Connell MD, Cunningham C, Crosby L, Kenny R. The relationship between  
454 increased body mass index and frailty on falls in community dwelling older adults. *BMC*  
455 *Geriatr* 2013;**13**:132.
- 456 15. Wang L, Liu W, He X, Chen Y, Lu J, Liu K, et al. Association of overweight and obesity with  
457 patient mortality after acute myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Int J*  
458 *Obes* 2016;**40**:220–8.
- 459 16. Martín-Ponce E, Santolaria F, Alemán-Valls M-R, González-Reimers E, Martínez-Riera A,  
460 Rodríguez-Gaspar M, et al. Factors involved in the paradox of reverse epidemiology. *Clin Nutr*  
461 *Edinb Scotl* 2010;**29**:501–6.
- 462 17. Greenlee H, Unger JM, LeBlanc M, Ramsey S, Hershman DL. Association between Body  
463 Mass Index and Cancer Survival in a Pooled Analysis of 22 Clinical Trials. *Cancer Epidemiol*  
464 *Biomarkers Prev* 2017;**26**:21–9.

- 465 18. Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. *Lancet Lond*  
466 *Engl* 2013;**381**:752–62.
- 467 19. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in older  
468 adults: evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;**56**:M146-156.
- 469 20. Ensrud KE, Ewing SK, Taylor BC, Fink HA, Stone KL, Cauley JA, et al. Frailty and risk of  
470 falls, fracture, and mortality in older women: the study of osteoporotic fractures. *J Gerontol A*  
471 *Biol Sci Med Sci* 2007;**62**:744–51.
- 472 21. Ensrud KE, Ewing SK, Cawthon PM, Fink HA, Taylor BC, Cauley JA, et al. A Comparison of  
473 Frailty Indexes for the Prediction of Falls, Disability, Fractures, and Mortality in Older Men:  
474 FRAILTY INDEXES, FALLS, DISABILITY, FRACTURES, AND MORTALITY. *J Am*  
475 *Geriatr Soc* 2009;**57**:492–8.
- 476 22. Baumgartner RN, Wayne SJ, Waters DL, Janssen I, Gallagher D, Morley JE. Sarcopenic  
477 Obesity Predicts Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Disability in the Elderly. *Obes Res*  
478 2004;**12**:1995-2004.
- 479 23. Delmonico MJ, Harris TB, Lee J-S, Visser M, Nevitt M, Kritchevsky SB, et al. Alternative  
480 definitions of sarcopenia, lower extremity performance, and functional impairment with aging  
481 in older men and women. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2007;**55**:769–74.
- 482 24. Blaum CS, Xue QL, Michelon E, Semba RD, Fried LP. The association between obesity and  
483 the frailty syndrome in older women: the Women’s Health and Aging Studies. *J Am Geriatr*  
484 *Soc* 2005;**53**:927–34.
- 485 25. Hubbard RE, Lang IA, Llewellyn DJ, Rockwood K. Frailty, body mass index, and abdominal  
486 obesity in older people. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2010;**65**:377–81.

- 487 26. Zoico E, Di Francesco V, Guralnik JM, Mazzali G, Bortolani A, Guariento S, et al. Physical  
488 disability and muscular strength in relation to obesity and different body composition indexes  
489 in a sample of healthy elderly women. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord J Int Assoc Study Obes*  
490 2004;**28**:234–41.
- 491 27. Bindawas SM, Vennu V. Longitudinal effects of physical inactivity and obesity on gait speed  
492 in older adults with frequent knee pain: data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Int J Environ Res*  
493 *Public Health* 2015;**12**:1849–63.
- 494 28. Lee Y, Kim J, Han ES, Ryu M, Cho Y, Chae S. Frailty and body mass index as predictors of 3-  
495 year mortality in older adults living in the community. *Gerontology* 2014;**60**:475–82.
- 496 29. Kulminski AM, Arbeev KG, Kulminskaya IV, Ukraintseva SV, Land K, Akushevich I, et al.  
497 Body mass index and nine-year mortality in disabled and nondisabled older U.S. individuals. *J*  
498 *Am Geriatr Soc* 2008;**56**:105–10.
- 499 30. Schooling CM, Lam TH, Li ZB, Ho SY, Chan WM, Ho KS, et al. Obesity, physical activity,  
500 and mortality in a prospective chinese elderly cohort. *Arch Intern Med* 2006 Jul;**166**:1498–504.
- 501 31. Thomas F, Pannier B, Benetos A, Vischer UM. Visceral obesity is not an independent risk  
502 factor of mortality in subjects over 65 years. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 2013;**9**:739–45.
- 503 32. Veronese N, De Rui M, Toffanello ED, De Ronch I, Perissinotto E, Bolzetta F, et al. Body  
504 mass index as a predictor of all-cause mortality in nursing home residents during a 5-year  
505 follow-up. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2013;**14**:53–7.
- 506 33. Korda RJ, Liu B, Clements MS, Bauman AE, Jorm LR, Bambrick HJ, et al. Prospective cohort  
507 study of body mass index and the risk of hospitalisation: findings from 246361 participants in  
508 the 45 and Up Study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2013;**37**:790–9.
- 509

510 **FIGURES LEGENDS**

511 **Figure 1. Forest plot of adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% CIs for death in relation**  
512 **to frailty stratified on BMI: EPIDOS study.**

513 \*adjusted for age, cardiovascular drugs including antihypertensive agents, hospital  
514 admission in the last 12 months, functional status-

515

516 **Figure 2. Forest plot of adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% CIs for falls in relation to**  
517 **frailty stratified on BMI: EPIDOS study.**

518 \*adjusted for age, educational level, living alone, smoking, number of chronic comorbidities,  
519 treatment with hypnotics, psychoactive drugs, fear of falling, fall in the last 6 months and  
520 previous fracture.

521

522 **Figure 3. Forest plot of adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% CIs for hip fracture in**  
523 **relation to frailty stratified on BMI: EPIDOS study.**

524 \* adjusted for age, use of hypnotics, fear of falling, and functional status and previous  
525 fracture.

526

527 **Figure 4. Forest plot of adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% CIs for hospital**  
528 **admission in relation to frailty stratified on BMI: EPIDOS study.**

529 \* adjusted for age, living alone, smoking, number of chronic comorbidities, number of  
530 treatment, use of hypnotics, cardiovascular drugs including antihypertensive drugs, fear of  
531 falling, fall in the last 6 months, hospital admission in the last 12 months and previous  
532 fracture.

533